

REMARKS

The instant application was the subject of Appeal No. 2005-0410 and an Oral Hearing was conducted before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on May 19, 2005. In its Decision on Appeal, the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reversed all of the Examiner's rejections.

In addition, under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 41.50(b), the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences entered a new ground of rejection, rejecting Claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Fuller. In response to this rejection, Applicant's Attorney has herewith cancelled Claim 22, but reserves the right to continue prosecution of the subject matter of cancelled Claim 22 in a Continuation or Continuation-in-Part application..

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment and remarks, it is believed that all claims are in condition for allowance, and it is respectfully requested that the application be passed to issue. If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this case, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.

By David E. Brook

David E. Brook

Registration No. 22,592

Telephone: (978) 341-0036

Facsimile: (978) 341-0136

Concord, MA 01742-9133

Dated: 6/22/05